IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

Writ Petition No. 4023 of 2003

PETITIONER: Keshav Netam age 26 yaers
S/o Chandruram Netam
R/o village Udanti,p.s. Deobhog
Tehsil Gariyaband,Dist.RAIPUR

VERSUS

RESPODENTS:

1. Addl.Commissioner For Workman Comp_ ansation, Labour Court
55, Anand Nagar RAIPUR

2. Ashok Kumar Agrawal
(woner of veh. No.C.G.04_Z.C.3606)
R/o.106, Nagar Nigam Colony Road
RAIPUR

3. The Oriental Insurance Company Ltd.
(Insurer of the veh.)
D.O.2, Chawla Complex
Devendra Nagar Road,RAIPUR

P. R. No. 3281/03
Presented by Shri Malay Kr. Bachhawat
dated 03.12.03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.4023/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12.04.2004 Shri M.K.Bhaduri, Counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, P.L. for the State. I.A.Nos.11671/2003 & 2024/2004 for urgent hearing are allowed. Heard. Shri Bhaduri submits that respondent No.1 has awarded an amount of Rs.3,48,754/- or so towards compensation, as per Annexure P/1. It is submitted that the petitioner made an application for withdrawal of the amount before the Labour Court and respondent No.1 refused the application as per Annexure P/3, on the ground that the petitioner/claimant has filed miscellaneous appeal for enhancement which is pending consideration. The said appeal for enhancement should not come in the way and the Court below ought to have disbursed the amount. It is the petitioner's own appeal which is for enhancement. If the petitioner succeeds he will get enhancement. The employer or the Insurance Company has not preferred the appeal against the award. — 2 —	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(23)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard and considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, it is directed that the petitioner may be allowed to withdraw the amount so deposited on furnishing security. The interest of the petitioner can well be protected. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands disposed of. C.c. as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	